

Fiscal Note 2011 Biennium

Bill #	HB0398		Increase Title: practice	authority of commissiones	er of political		
Primary Sponsor:	Taylor, Janna		Status: As Intro	duced			
☐ Significant I	Local Gov Impact	✓ Needs to be included	led in HB 2	Technical Concerns			
☐ Included in the Executive Budget		☐ Significant Long-T	-Term Impacts Dedicated Revenue Form Attached		orm Attached		
FISCAL SUMMARY FY 2010 FY 2011 FY 2012 FY 2013							
		<u>Difference</u>	<u>Difference</u>	<u>Difference</u>	<u>Difference</u>		
Expenditures: General Fund		\$7,120	\$2,720	\$2,720	\$2,720		
Revenue: General Fund		\$12,500	\$12,500	\$12,500	\$12,500		
Net Impact-Gene	eral Fund Balance:	\$5,380	\$9,780	\$9,780	\$9,780		

<u>Description of fiscal impact:</u> The bill increases the authority of the Commissioner of Political Practices to enforce lobbying, campaign practices, and campaign finance laws. Increased expenditures include rulemaking and legal services.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

Assumptions:

Commissioner of Political Practices (CPP)

- 1. Rulemaking is required. The cost of contracted services for formatting per ARM regulations and legal services will add an estimated \$4,400 in FY 2010.
- 2. An estimated 25 candidates will file late. After receiving a notice of an accruing civil penalty, these candidates will file on average, five days later. The revenue collected from the civil penalty would be \$6,250. (25 candidates @ \$50/day x 5 days)
- 3. Collecting \$6,250 in late filing fees will result in approximately \$1,360 of legal costs.
- 4. An estimated 25 disclaimer violations will occur. After receiving a notice of noncompliance, the affected party will file on average, five days later. The revenue collected would be \$6,250. (25 disclaimer violations @ \$50/day x 5 days)
- 5. Collecting \$6,250 in disclaimer penalties will result in approximately \$1,360 of legal costs.

	FY 2010 Difference	FY 2011 Difference	FY 2012 Difference	FY 2013 <u>Difference</u>			
Fiscal Impact:							
Expenditures:							
Operating Expenses	\$7,120	\$2,720	\$2,720	\$2,720			
TOTAL Expenditures	\$7,120	\$2,720	\$2,720	\$2,720			
Funding of Expenditures:							
General Fund (01)	\$7,120	\$2,720	\$2,720	\$2,720			
TOTAL Funding of Exp.	\$7,120	\$2,720	\$2,720	\$2,720			
Revenues: General Fund (01) TOTAL Revenues	\$12,500 \$12,500	\$12,500 \$12,500	\$12,500 \$12,500	\$12,500 \$12,500			
Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus Funding of Expenditures):							
General Fund (01)	\$5,380	\$9,780	\$9,780	\$9,780			

Technical Notes:

Date

Budget Director's Initials

HB0398_01.doc	
2/5/2009	

Sponsor's Initials

Date

^{1.} The "order of noncompliance" provisions in 13-35-225, MCA, don't appear to coordinate in tandem with the existing statutes providing for issuance of orders of noncompliance (13-37-115 and 13-37-121, MCA). The order of noncompliance authorized by 13-37-121, MCA, applies to late statements and reports, while the order of noncompliance referred to in the proposed new subsection (6) of 13-35-225, MCA, applies to instances of violations.